A novel Area under curve method was developed for the quantification of Glimepiride using analytical grade methanol as solvent. Glimepiride obeys Beer’s law in concentration range 20-40 μg/ml in area between 227nm -233nm. The recovery studies ascertained the accuracy of proposed method and result was validated according to ICH guideline. The result of analysis has been validated statistically by recovery studies. This method was successfully carried out for the estimation of Glimepiride in tablet dosage form without the interference of common excipients.
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Introduction

Glimepiride is used with a proper diet exercise program to control high blood sugar in people with type 2 diabetes. It may also be used with other diabetes medications. Controlling high blood sugar helps prevent kidney damage, blindness, nerve problems, loss of limbs, and sexual function problems. Proper control of diabetes may also lessen your risk of a heart attack or stroke. Glimepiride belongs to the class of drugs known as sulfonylurea. It lowers blood sugar by causing the release of your body’s natural insulin.

Review of Literature:

Based on the literature survey, it was found that different methods for determination of Glimepiride have been reported. Analysis of Glimepiride by HPLC [3], RP-HPLC method for the determination of Glimepiride [4-7], Estimation by UV spectrophotometric method [8]. However, there is no area under curve found for estimation of Glimepiride. There for a new method was developed and reported.

Materials and Methods

Materials: Shimadzu 1800 spectronic UV Spectrophotometer with 1cm matched quartz cells was used for data collection and analysis. Methanol was used as a solvent for drug substance.

Preparation of standard stock solution: Standard stock solution of Glimepiride was prepared by dissolving accurately weighed quantity of Glimepiride 25mg in 100 ml of methanol and
transferred it to 100ml volumetric flask. Volume was made to the mark with methanol for obtaining stock solution up to 1000μg/ml conc. Further dilution made to get the concentration of 100μg/ml. Dilutions were done to get concentration of 20μg/ml.

**Determination of Area Under Curve:** The standard solution of Glimepiride (20μg/ml) was scanned in the wavelength from 220 to 250 and absorption maximum was found to be at 230nm. Therefor, area from 227 to 233nm was selected for the analysis. (Figure 1)

**Stability of Drug in Selected Solvent:** The stability of drug in selected solvent was determined by measuring the absorbance of the drug solution (20μg/ml) at different time intervals. After every 5 min. of interval the absorbance was measured the solution was found to be stable. (Table 1)

**Linearity:** From the standard stock solution of Glimepiride, appropriate aliquots were pipetted out into 25 ml of volumetric flask and dilutions were made with methanol to produce working standard solution of Glimepiride 20,25,30,35,40 μg/ml. The area under curve of Glimepiride was measured in area between 233 to 227 nm. The calibration plot of the drug Glimepiride was plotted. The concentration range over which the drug followed linearity was chosen as an analytical concentration range i.e. 20 μg/ml to 40μg/ml for Glimepiride. (Table 2 and Figures 2 to 7)

**Validation of proposed method:** A. Estimation of Drug from Dosage Form (Tablet) (Assay Study)

**Brand name- GLIMISTAR 0.1**

**Standard:** From the standard stock solution of Glimepiride, appropriate aliquots were pipetted out into 25 ml volumetric flask and dilutions were...
made with methanol to obtain working standard solution of Glimepiride 40μg/ml. This concentration was scanned between wavelengths of 233nm to 227nm.

**Sample**: Five tablet contents of brand GLIMISTAR Ò-1 containing 1 mg of Glimepiride weighed and finally powdered with the help of mortar. Each uncoated tablet contains 1 mg of Glimepiride. A quantity of powder sample of equivalent to 5 mg of Glimepiride was taken into volumetric flask. Dilutions were made to get concentration of 40μg/ml. These concentrations were scanned between of 233nm to 227nm. (Table 3)

**B. Accuracy (Recovery Study)**: Recovery experiments were used for study of accuracy of the method. This study was carried out by adding known amount of bulk sample to tablet and recovery was performed at three levels, 80, 100 and 120% of Glimepiride standard concentration. Samples for recovery studies were prepared according to before mentioned procedure. Three samples were prepared for each recovery level. The solutions of sample were analyzed and %

![Fig. 5: Area under curve of Glimepiride 30 μg /ml.](image)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Time(min.)</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.9838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.9888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.9995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Concentration (μg/ml)</th>
<th>Area Under Curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.9888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19.698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
recoveries were calculated by using following formula.

\[
\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{\text{Observed amount of compound in sample}}{\text{Amount of all compound present in sample}} \times 100
\]

The recovery values are summarized in following tables 4.

**C. Precision:** Four independent samples of Glimepiride were used for the evaluation of precision. The intermediate precision (inter day precision) of the method was also evaluated using four different analysts in the same laboratory. The values obtained by four analysts were summarized in table 6.

**Results and Discussion**

The standard solutions of Glimepiride in Methanol were subjected to scanning under the area from 227nm to 233nm. For the area under curve method Shimadzu 1800 spectronic UV-Visible spectrophotometer was used. The method is also simple, rapid and economical method which gives reproducible results on the instrument used. The reported method is an economical method in which only Methanol solution is used as the solvent and does not require the use of costly reagents.

The calibration curve of Glimepiride was found to be linear at conc. Range 20 to 40 μg/ml at area between 233 to 227 nm. There for, it was clear that Glimepiride can be determined in presence of methanol with no intervention of any irrelevant substance in pharmaceutical products. With the intention of determining the practicability of the developed technique for the assessment of commercially available brand (GLIMISTAR Ò-1) of medicinal formulations, the technique was initially

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Label Claim (mg/tablet)</th>
<th>Amount Found (mg/tablet)</th>
<th>% of Label Claim</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLIMISTAR Ò-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>101.08</td>
<td>0.0311</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Assay for Glimepiride Tablet Formulations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label % recovery</th>
<th>Amount present (mg/tablet)</th>
<th>Amount of Standard added (mg/tablet)</th>
<th>Amount Recovered (mg/tablet)</th>
<th>Total % recovery</th>
<th>%mean recovery</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78.32</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>98.58</td>
<td>0.7280</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79.48</td>
<td>99.35</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>0.3453</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120.45</td>
<td>100.18</td>
<td>100.18</td>
<td>0.0453</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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attempted on bulk drugs in their synthetic mixture sample as well as concentrations were estimated. Then the technique was subjected to the assay of in marketed dosage forms and satisfactory results were attained within the appropriate limits as per the content of the label claim for Glimepiride.

The newly developed method was validated as per the international guidelines and parameters. The novel method for the quantitative investigation of Glimepiride was subjected to different validation parameters like specificity and selectivity in presence of formulation additives and excipients, studied for Linearity and range at different levels of concentrations and calibration standards where the determination range was optimized, accuracy was proved by recovery studies at different concentration levels, precision was established through inter day precision studies, where the samples were subjected to changed conditions other than optimized parameters.

**Conclusion**

From the above experimental studies it is concluded that area under curve method developed for estimation of Glimepiride was suitable for the routine determination of Glimepiride. The proposed method for the selected drug Glimepiride was found to be precise and accurate. The most important striking features of spectrophotometric methods are their rapidity and simplicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Assay of Glimepiride as % of Labelled amount (inter – day precision)</th>
<th>Analyst 1</th>
<th>Analyst 2</th>
<th>Analyst 3</th>
<th>Analyst 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>100.08</td>
<td>100.15</td>
<td>99.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.04</td>
<td>99.89</td>
<td>100.78</td>
<td>99.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.85</td>
<td>100.20</td>
<td>99.67</td>
<td>100.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.74</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>99.88</td>
<td>100.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>100.06</td>
<td>100.12</td>
<td>99.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1342</td>
<td>0.1287</td>
<td>0.4818</td>
<td>0.3103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The newly developed method is alternative to HPLC methods and zero order UV spectrophotometric methods. Results of validation parameters demonstrate that these performed analytical procedures are suitable for its intended purpose and meet the criteria defined in ICHQ2A/B guidelines.
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